IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA Clarksburg

CHRISTINA MARIE PILGRIM,

Petitioner,

٧.

Civil Action No. 1:20-CV-197 Judge Kleeh

P. ADAMS, WARDEN,

Respondent.

## ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

The above referenced case is before this Court upon the magistrate judge's recommendation that petitioner's Petition for Habeas Corpus Pursuant to § 2241[Doc. 1] be denied and dismissed without prejudice to her right to file a *Bivens* action and petitioner's Motion to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis* [Doc. 2] be denied as moot.

This Court is charged with conducting a *de novo* review of any portion of the magistrate judge's report to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). However, absent prompt objection by a dissatisfied party, it appears that Congress did not intend for the district court to review the factual and legal conclusions of the magistrate judge. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985). Additionally, any party who fails to file timely, written objections to the magistrate judge's report pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) waives the right to raise those objections at the appellate court level. *United States v. Schronce*, 727 F.2d 91 (4th Cir. 1984), *cert. denied*, 467 U.S. 1208 (1984). No objections have been filed to the magistrate judge's report and recommendation.

A de novo review of the record indicates that the magistrate judge's report

accurately summarizes this case and the applicable law. Accordingly, the magistrate

judge's report and recommendation [Doc. 6] is AFFIRMED, and petitioner's Petition for

Habeas Corpus Pursuant to § 2241 [Doc. 1] is DENIED AND DISMISSED WITHOUT

PREJUDICE. Moreover, petitioner's Motion to Proceed In Forma Pauperis [Doc. 2] is

hereby **DENIED AS MOOT**. This Court further **DIRECTS** the Clerk to enter judgment in

favor of the respondent and to STRIKE this case from the active docket of this Court.

It is so **ORDERED**.

The Clerk is directed to transmit copies of this Order to any counsel of record and

to mail a copy to the pro se petitioner.

DATED: September 28, 2021.

Tom & Klul

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2